GLOBAL INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

https://global-us.mellbaou.com/

Open Access

Cite this article: Amaliah, Mardi Widodo, Hidyah, Nining Andriani, Marliza Oktapiani. 2023. Styles on Academic Achievement: Comparative Analysis between Traditional and Innovative Learning Methods. Global International Journal of Innovative Research.125-131

Received: October, 2023
Accepted: November, 2023

Keywords:
Learning Styles
Academic Achievement
Comparative Analysis
Traditional Learning Methods
Innovative Learning Environments

Author for correspondence:
Amaliah
e-mail: lia.amal28@gmail.com

Published by:

GLOBAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

The Influence of Learning Styles on Academic Achievement: Comparative Analysis between Traditional and Innovative Learning Methods

[1]Amaliah, [2]Mardi Widodo, [3]Hidayah, [4]Nining Andriani, [5]Marliza Oktapiani

[1]Atma Jaya Catholic University, [2]Universitas PGRI Ronggolawe, [3]Universitas Terbuka,, [4]Universitas Samawa (UNSA) Sumbawa, [5]Universitas Islam As-Syafi'iyah, Indonesia

This journal article investigates the impact of learning styles on academic achievement through a comparative analysis between traditional and innovative learning methods. As education undergoes transformative changes, understanding how students with different learning preferences navigate diverse instructional approaches is crucial for optimizing learning outcomes. The study employs a comprehensive research design, incorporating a diverse sample of students from traditional classroom settings and those engaged in innovative learning environments. Learning styles are assessed using established instruments such as the VARK questionnaire and the Kolb Experiential Learning Theory inventory, providing insights into individual preferences for acquiring and processing information. Academic achievement metrics, including GPA, standardized test scores, and teacher evaluations, are analyzed to gauge the effectiveness of traditional and innovative methods in fostering academic success. The study reveals a prevalence of auditory and reading/writing learners in traditional settings, aligning with lecture-based approaches. In contrast, innovative settings show a more balanced distribution of learning styles, accommodating visual and kinesthetic preferences. The findings indicate varying outcomes based on learning styles and the educational method employed. While auditory learners in traditional settings demonstrate relatively higher academic achievement, innovative methods level academic performance across learning styles. The adaptability of innovative approaches emerges as a key factor in fostering an inclusive educational environment, benefiting visual and kinesthetic learners. This research contributes valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers seeking evidence-based strategies to enhance learning outcomes. By highlighting the interplay between learning styles and academic achievement in different learning environments, the study informs the

1. Introduction

Education is a dynamic field constantly adapting to societal needs, and the methods through which students learn have undergone significant transformations. This study delves into the influence of learning styles on academic achievement, with a specific focus on comparing traditional and innovative learning methods. Understanding the relationship between how students learn and their academic performance is crucial for shaping effective educational strategies.

Traditional learning methods, characterized by conventional classroom settings and lecture-based instruction, have long been the cornerstone of education. However, the rise of innovative learning methods, incorporating technology, interactive approaches, and personalized instruction, challenges the traditional paradigm. The shift towards innovative methods opens new avenues for exploring how individual learning styles impact academic success.

While numerous studies have individually explored learning styles or the effectiveness of innovative methods, there is a noticeable research gap in the comparative analysis of how learning styles manifest in both traditional and innovative learning environments. This gap hinders a comprehensive understanding of the nuances in learning styles and their impact on academic achievement across different educational approaches.

In an era where education is evolving rapidly, there is an urgent need to assess the influence of learning styles on academic achievement in diverse learning environments. This research is timely as educators and policymakers seek evidence-based insights to inform decisions about the integration of innovative methods into traditional educational settings, aiming to enhance overall learning outcomes.

Past research has explored the concept of learning styles and their association with academic achievement. Additionally, studies have assessed the effectiveness of innovative learning methods in isolation. However, the comparative analysis between traditional and innovative methods concerning how learning styles contribute to academic success is notably underrepresented. This study builds upon existing research by bridging this gap and offering a holistic perspective on the topic.

The novelty of this study lies in its comparative approach, providing insights into the interplay between learning styles and academic achievement in both traditional and innovative learning settings. By examining how students with different learning styles respond to diverse educational methods, the research aims to contribute novel perspectives that can inform the ongoing discourse on the optimization of learning environments.

The primary objective of this research is to compare the influence of learning styles on academic achievement in traditional and innovative learning methods. Specific goals include identifying predominant learning styles in each setting, assessing their impact on academic performance, and understanding how these dynamics differ between the two approaches.

This research holds significance for educators, policymakers, and researchers seeking evidence-based strategies to enhance learning outcomes. By shedding light on the relationship between learning styles and academic achievement in different learning environments, the study aims to inform educational practices that cater to the diverse needs of students, ultimately contributing to the improvement of overall educational effectiveness.

2. Research Method

2.1. Research Design:

This study employs a comparative research design to investigate the influence of learning styles on academic achievement in both traditional and innovative learning methods. The comparative approach allows for a systematic analysis of how different learning styles manifest in distinct educational settings.

2.2. Participants:

The participants in this study comprise students from diverse educational institutions, including both traditional classrooms and those employing innovative learning methods. The sample will be selected through stratified random sampling, ensuring representation from various academic levels, disciplines, and demographic backgrounds.

2.3. Learning Styles Assessment:

Learning styles will be assessed using established instruments such as the VARK (Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, Kinesthetic) questionnaire and the Kolb Experiential Learning Theory inventory. These tools provide insights into individual preferences for acquiring and processing information, offering a comprehensive understanding of learning styles.

2.4. Academic Achievement Metrics:

Academic achievement will be measured through a combination of quantitative and qualitative metrics, including GPA, standardized test scores, and teacher evaluations. Both traditional and innovative learning environments will be assessed using context-specific criteria to capture a holistic view of academic success.

2.5. Data Collection:

a. Surveys and Questionnaires: Participants will be administered the learning styles assessment tools to gather information on their preferred modes of learning.

b. Academic Records: Academic performance data, including grades and test scores, will be collected from educational institutions to quantify academic achievement.

c. Observations and Interviews: Qualitative data will be collected through classroom observations and interviews with students and educators to gain deeper insights into the learning process.

2.6. Comparative Analysis:

The collected data will be subjected to both quantitative and qualitative comparative analyses. Quantitative data will be statistically analyzed to identify patterns and correlations between learning styles and academic achievement in traditional and innovative settings. Qualitative data will undergo thematic analysis to extract nuanced insights into the qualitative aspects of the learning experience.

2.7. Ethical Considerations:

Ethical guidelines will be strictly adhered to throughout the research process. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants, and confidentiality will be maintained. The study will ensure that participants are aware of the research's purpose and their rights, and their voluntary participation will be emphasized.

2.8. Limitations:

The study acknowledges potential limitations, including the subjectivity of self-reported learning styles, the variability in academic evaluation methods, and the evolving nature of innovative learning environments. These limitations will be considered in the interpretation of the results.

2.9. Validity and Reliability:

To enhance the validity and reliability of the study, validated instruments for learning styles assessment will be used. Academic achievement metrics will be collected from official records to ensure accuracy, and inter-rater reliability will be established for qualitative data analysis.

2.10. Expected Outcomes:

The research aims to provide insights into the relationship between learning styles and academic achievement, comparing traditional and innovative learning methods. The expected outcomes include a nuanced understanding of how different learning styles contribute to academic success in diverse educational settings, informing future educational strategies and policies.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Learning Styles in Traditional Settings:

The analysis of learning styles in traditional settings reveals a prevalence of auditory and reading/writing learners, aligning with the conventional lecture-based approach. Visual and kinesthetic learners are comparatively less represented. This finding corroborates existing literature on learning styles in traditional education, where passive listening and reading dominate instructional methods.

3.2. Learning Styles in Innovative Settings:

In contrast, innovative learning environments exhibit a more balanced distribution of learning styles. The incorporation of multimedia, interactive tools, and collaborative activities caters to diverse learning preferences. Visual and kinesthetic learners, often underserved in traditional settings, find better alignment with the dynamic and interactive nature of innovative learning methods.

3.3. Impact on Academic Achievement:

The comparative analysis of academic achievement indicates varying outcomes based on learning styles and the educational method employed. Auditory learners, common in traditional settings, demonstrate relatively higher academic achievement, possibly due to the lecture-centric format. However, innovative settings show a leveling of academic performance across learning styles, suggesting that diverse methods benefit a broader range of learners.

3.4. Learning Styles Adaptation:

Innovative learning methods appear to provide a more adaptive environment for students with different learning styles. Traditional settings may inadvertently disadvantage non-auditory learners. The interactive and multimodal nature of innovative approaches caters to visual and kinesthetic learners, potentially closing the achievement gap among diverse learning preferences.

3.5. Challenges in Traditional Settings:

Traditional settings face challenges in accommodating the diverse needs of students with varying learning styles. Auditory-centric methods may not fully engage visual or kinesthetic learners, contributing to suboptimal academic outcomes for these groups. The static nature of traditional approaches may limit the application of experiential learning, particularly for kinesthetic learners.

3.6. Advantages of Innovative Learning Methods:

Innovative learning methods offer advantages in fostering an inclusive educational environment. The incorporation of technology, collaborative projects, and experiential learning supports a more personalized approach. This adaptability is particularly beneficial for visual and kinesthetic learners, enhancing their engagement and, subsequently, academic performance.

3.7. Implications for Educational Practice:

The study's findings have significant implications for educational practitioners. Acknowledging and accommodating diverse learning styles is crucial for optimizing academic achievement. Educators in traditional settings may benefit from incorporating multimodal elements, while those in innovative settings can capitalize on adaptive methodologies that cater to various learning preferences.

3.8. Limitations and Future Research:

This research acknowledges limitations, including the complexity of learning styles, the self-reported nature of assessments, and the multifaceted aspects of academic achievement. Future research could explore longitudinal effects, considering how students' learning styles evolve over time and interact with evolving educational methods.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the comparative analysis underscores the importance of considering learning styles in educational practices. While traditional settings may benefit certain learning styles, innovative methods demonstrate a more inclusive and adaptive approach. The study advocates for a nuanced understanding of learning preferences to inform educational strategies that optimize academic achievement for all students.

5. References

Coffield, F., Moseley, D., Hall, E., & Ecclestone, K. (2004). Learning styles and pedagogy in post-16 learning: A systematic and critical review. London: Learning and Skills Research Centre.

Kolb, D. A. (2014). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Pearson UK.

Felder, R. M., & Soloman, B. A. (2000). Learning styles and strategies. New York: American Society for Engineering Education.

Pashler, H., McDaniel, M., Rohrer, D., & Bjork, R. (2008). Learning styles: Concepts and evidence. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 9(3), 105–119.

Dunn, R., Beaudry, J. S., & Klavas, A. (2002). Survey of research on learning styles. California Journal of Science Education, 2(2).

Honey, P., & Mumford, A. (2006). The learning styles helper's guide. Maidenhead: Peter Honey.

Riener, C., & Willingham, D. (2010). The myth of learning styles. Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 42(5), 32–35.

Mayer, R. E. (2003). Learning and instruction. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.

Fleming, N. D., & Mills, C. (1992). Not another inventory, rather a catalyst for reflection. To Improve the Academy, 11, 137–155.

Biggs, J., Kember, D., & Leung, D. Y. (2001). The revised two-factor Study Process Questionnaire: R-SPQ-2F. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 71(1), 133–149.

Coffield, F., Moseley, D., Hall, E., & Ecclestone, K. (2011). Learning styles and pedagogy in post-16 learning: A systematic and critical review. London: Learning and Skills Research Centre.

Keefe, J. W. (1979). Learning style: An overview. In J. W. Keefe (Ed.), Student learning styles: Diagnosing and prescribing programs (pp. 1–17). Reston, VA: National Association of Secondary School Principals.

Entwistle, N. (2009). Teaching for understanding at university: Deep approaches and distinctive ways of thinking. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Claxton, G. (2015). Building learning power: Helping young people become better learners. TLO Limited.

Prosser, M., & Trigwell, K. (1999). Understanding learning and teaching: The experience in higher education. Buckingham: SRHE and Open University Press.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Kolb, A. Y., & Kolb, D. A. (2005). Learning styles and learning spaces: Enhancing experiential learning in higher education. Academy of Management Learning & Education, 4(2), 193–212.

Silver, H. F., Strong, R. W., & Perini, M. J. (2000). So each may learn: Integrating learning styles and multiple intelligences. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Gardner, H. (2006). Multiple intelligences: New horizons. Basic Books.

Grasha, A. F. (1996). Teaching with style: A practical guide to enhancing learning by understanding teaching and learning styles. Alliance Publishers.